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ABSTRACT

Loan default is the failure to pay back a loan which may occur if the debtor is either unwilling or unable to pay its debt. A defaulted loan is a cost to SACCOs in terms of forgone or delayed interest, high recovery cost and finance cost associated with external borrowing. The study sought to review the relationship between loan default and the financial performance of Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (SACCOs) in Kenya.

The research design used in this study was descriptive design. The design was appropriate because the study involved in depth information on the relationship between loan default and the financial performance of SACCOs. Data was collected from the census of 45 SACCCOs in Nairobi County using secondary data from SASRA, which is the regulatory body thus the study concentrated on 20 SACCOs. The data was reviewed, and analyzed using (SPSS version 18) both descriptive and inferential statistics.

The study findings indicated that there is strong negative relationship between the loan default and the profitability of these SACCCOS. The tests showed that the overall regression model is a good fit for the data as the independent variables statistically and significantly predict the dependent variable. The regression model is a good fit of the data. Personality types are predisposed to loan default why credit markets may fail. The study recommends that SACCO should; continuously review credit policies, establish irrecoverable loan provision policies, and character of loan applicants.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

In accordance to study of Nicholas (2010) default occurs when a debtor has not met his or her legal obligations according to the debt contract, for example has not made a scheduled payment, or has violated a loan covenant (condition) of the debt contract. A default is the failure to pay back a loan. Default may occur if the debtor is either unwilling or unable to pay his or her debt. This can occur with all debt obligations including bonds, mortgages, loans, and notes. In corporate finance, upon an uncured default, the holders of the debt will usually initiate proceedings (file a petition of involuntary bankruptcy) to foreclose on any collateral securing the debt. Even if the debt is not secured by collateral, debt holders may still sue for bankruptcy, to ensure that the corporation's assets are used to repay the debt.

According to David and Lando (2004) default can be of several types: debt services default, technical default, sovereign default, orderly default, strategic default, sovereign strategic default and consumer default. Debt service default occurs when the borrower has not made a scheduled payment of interest or principal. Technical default occurs when an affirmative or a negative covenant is violated. With most debt (including corporate debt, mortgages and bank loans) a covenant is included in the debt contract which states that the total amount owed becomes immediately payable on the first instance of a default of payment. Generally, if the debtor defaults on any debt to the lender, a cross default covenant in the debt contract states that that particular debt is also in default.
According to the study of Uboun (1998) SACCOs societies grant loans on the basis of member’s savings. The loan may be more or less than the savings of the borrower. Loans less than the member savings are secure and the repayment is assured. Loans in excess of the members savings must be guaranteed by other members. Loans that are not recovered are considered to be delinquent and hence defaulted. Steams (1991) study found out that the manner in which borrowers are selected and the amount of loan given to each successful borrower determines the magnitude of loan delinquency. Borrowers who are given loans they can repay without hardships hardly default. All shares owed by defaulters and any dividend due to them are used to offset the loan, any balance remaining will be deducted from guarantors’ share. The Retirements Benefit Authority (RBA) prohibits the use of members’ pension in paying off their liabilities including outstanding SACCO loans (sec 22 Act NO 3 of 1997). The retirees may be drawing their monthly pensions which the SACCO society easily access for the purpose of loan repayment.

1.1.1 Loan Default

Nicholas (2010) default occurs when a debtor has not met his or her legal obligations according to the debt contract, e.g. has not made a scheduled payment, or has violated a loan covenant (condition) of the debt contract. A default is the failure to pay back a loan. Default may occur if the debtor is either unwilling or unable to pay his or her debt. This can occur with all debt obligations including bonds, mortgages, loans, and promissory notes. Defaulting on debt obligation can place a company or an individual in financial trouble. The lender will see a default as a sigh that the borrower is not likely
to make future payment

Njiru (2006) carried a study on a list of non-performing loans including all relevant details which he assessed case by case basis in order to determine if the situation is reversible exactly what can be done to improve repayment capacity and whether or not worked out collections plans have been used, provision level should be used to determine SACCOs capacity to withstand loan default. Gachara (1990) studied investment practices of reserve funds in SACCOs, the study found out that the criteria of investing on reserve funds could affect the performance of SACCOs by reducing the financial problem and risk brought about by the defaulters.

1.1.2 Financial Performance

Eijelly (2004) defines profitability as the potential of a venture to be financially successful although it may be found that one factor or a set of factors are not successful, abandoning the venture may not be optimal solution. Financial ratios which use data from firm’s statement of financial position, statement of comprehensive income, statement of cash flow, statement of cash flow and certain market data are often used when using financial performance of a firm. Myers (2004) ascertains that a negative relationship between debt and turn over on the basis that successful companies do not need to depend on so much external funding but rather they should instead rely on their internal reserves accumulated from past profits Its expected that firms most members will join SACCOs which have been profitable due to their going concern basis. It’s therefore evident that a positive relationship profitability and institutional ownership, However, Tong and Ning (2004) found out that there was limited evidence that investors prefer to invest in
profitable firms, they found out that profitability measured as the return on equity is negatively related to average shares held by institutional investors.

Joetta (2007) studied the reason why ROE is used as the measurement of the amount of profit generated by the equity in the firm. ROE is an indicator of the efficiency of the firm to generate profit from equity. Jensen investment paper 2008 stated that ROE provides a useful measurement of profit generating efficiency because of the fact that it measures how much earnings a company can get on the equity capital. ROE is the company net income after tax divided by shareholder equity. Net income is the company earnings after paying all tax and expenses. Equity represents the capital invested in the company plus the retained earnings. ROE is inclusive of retained earnings from the previous period and communicates to the investors how efficiently the capital is reinvested.

1.1.3 Relationship of loan default on financial performance.

According to Johnson & Scholes (2007), many managers find a process for developing a useful set of performance indicators for their organizations difficult. One reason for this is that many indicators give a useful but only partial view of the overall picture. Also some indicators are qualitative in nature, whilst the hard quantitative end of assessing performance has been dominated by financial analysis. In an attempt to cope with this very heterogeneous situation, balanced score cards have been used as a way of identifying a useful, but varied set of key measures. Balanced score cards combine both qualitative and quantitative measures, acknowledge expectations of different stakeholders and relate an assessment of performance to choice of strategy.
Employee based SACCOs have low delinquency because the employer guarantees loan recovery and remittance. The biggest challenge in credit management is to up sustainable and cost effective system of loan recovery and default control. Van (1995) the firms credit policies are the chief influence on the level of debtors, measuring the manager position to invest optimally in its debtors to be able to trade profitably with increased revenue.

1.1.4 Sacco’s in Kenya.

The management of co-operative societies in Kenya is governed by the co-operative societies Act No. 12 of 1997 and subsequent cooperative societies (Amendment) Act No. 2 of 2004 that comply with the guidelines of the International Co-operative Alliance (ICA, 1995). Currently there are about 10,000 co-operative societies and unions in the cooperatives. They have a membership over eight million. The establishment of SACCO societies was as a result of a desire to accord low and middle income cadre employees an opportunity to save and borrow at more favourable terms than commercial banks Chambo (2005). Social motives to form co-operative arise from a basic need to join a co-operative in order to survive. Members who face similar conditions of poverty see the need to form co-operatives without which they risk marginalisation as individuals.

According with the study of Ronald (2011) SACCOs have registered tremendous growth since mid 70s and have currently achieved an average growth rate of 25%per year in deposits and assets. Sacco’s have also created employment for Kenyans thus contributing to the government efforts of achieving the goal of vision 2030.
have grown tremendously and currently have 3.7 million members. The 200 SACCOs with FOSAs have diversified into specialized bank like activities which include deposit taking, saving facilities, debit card business (ATM) and money transfers both local and international.

1.2 Research Problem

It is generally accepted that credit, which is put to productive use, results in good returns. But credit provision is such a risky business that, in addition to other reasons of varied nature, it may involve fraudulent and opportunistic behaviour. MFIs should rather depend on loan recovery to have a sustainable financial position in this regard, so that they can meet their objective of alleviating poverty. Whether default is random and influenced by erratic behaviour or whether it is influenced by certain factors in a specific situation, therefore, needs an empirical investigation so that the findings can be used by micro financing institutions to manipulate their credit programs for the better, Buvinic (1997)

According to Uboun (1988), default in loan repayment by SACCO members is brought about by commitments to other loans, diversion of salary, withholding of salary by employer due to cash flow problems or employees having discipline issue, unwillingness to pay and unprofitability of the financed units. The image that the lender must receive loan repayments promptly and philosophy of non-tolerance of late loan repayments default implies that borrowers will be committed to loan repayment. Potential borrowers are screened and only those who are committed to loan repayment end up applying.
According to Steams (1991) the manner in which borrowers are selected and the amount of loan given to each successful borrower determines the magnitude of loan delinquency. Borrowers who are given loans they can repay without hardships hardly default in repayment. In any case default in loan repayment is as a result of bad loans and not bad borrowers. A bad loan is one that the borrower repays with a lot of hardships.

Default on loan repayments poses the greatest risk to stability of the multi-billion shilling savings and credit co-operative (Sacco) movement, financial sector regulators have said. Kenya’s five financial sector regulators said the risk of defaults on personal loans granted by Sacco’s was high, as the debts were secured only by member guarantees. The regulators also warned that reliance on expensive bank loans, instead of members’ share contributions, raised the probability of the Sacco’s defaulting on their debt, as indicated by their low liquidity and solvency ratios especially since borrowing costs have sharply increased. Most of the local studies lean on granting of loan, cash flows, loan control and attitude of the borrower (Steams 1991; Uboun 1998; Pearce and Robinson 2007; Omweri 2006). These studies did not establish a clear relationship between loan default and the financial performance of Sacco’s. In addition, and to the best of knowledge of the researcher no research has used turnover as an independent variable in the Kenya market. Thus there exists a gap necessitating the study. This study attempted to address the following research question. Does loan default have relationship with the financial performance of Sacco’s in Kenya?
1.4 Research Objective

To establish the relationship between loan default and the performance of SACCOs in Kenya.

1.5 Significance of the study

Cooperative societies

This study will assist SACCOs in making rational decision on granting loans to members by carefully appraising members before granting loans to enable repayment of loans since the survival of the SACCOs depends on how effective the loans are repaid.

Shareholders

It will enable them to know consequences of loan guarantee to members of SACCO and also the usefulness of repaying back the loans since the SACCO movement is a driving force of the country’s economy.

The Government

The research finding will also provide valuable information to the government that may be useful in policy formulation on SACCO loan repayment

Researchers

The study will provide information to researchers on the relationship between loan default and financial performance of Sacco’s in Kenya.
CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter will deal with a review of literature relevant to the study. Savings and credit Co-operative Societies offer financial services to individual members and not groups or companies. Kenya aspires to become an industrialized nation by 2030 (Vision 2030). The financial market is critical to the attainment of this objective. Some sectors of this market such as SACCOs are extremely vibrant and if fully harnessed can be crucial in accelerating economic development. Issues on the different theories on this study will also be critically reviewed. Savings and credit cooperative societies take a number of different medium though members save and are granted loans, in the event of default in loan repayment threat of sale of collateral or social sanctions by peers often compels repayment.

2.2 Theoretical Review

The theoretical framework of a research project relates to the philosophical basis on which the research takes place, and forms the link between the theoretical aspects and the practical components of the investigation undertaken. The theoretical framework therefore “has implication for every decision made in the research” mertens (1998). The theoretical framework helps to make logical sense of relationship of the variables and factors that have been deemed important to the problem provides definitions of the relationships between all the variables so that the theorized relationship between them can be understood. The theoretical framework will therefore guide the research, determining which factors to be measured and what statistical research will look for.
2.2.1 Theory of Group Formation

Korvives and Tuckman (1998) identified stages in group formation that are relevant to process through which SACCOs are operating at community level. SACCOs are example of groups at community level and the processes they go through are assessed using the group formation theory. Tuckman and Jensey (1977) draw on the movement known as group dynamics which is concerned with why group behave in a particular ways. These offer various suggestions for how they develop overtime. The formation of some groups can be represented as a spiral, other groups form with sudden movements forward and then have periods with no change .Whatever variant of formation each group exhibits, they suggest that all groups pass through sequential stages of development .these stages may be longer or shorter for each group or individual member of the group but all groups will need to experience them.

2.2.2. Theory of Credit Default

In accordance to the study of Kenan (1999) a credit default represents the financial failure of an entity (a person or a company). A theory of credit default should therefore represent a systematic understanding of the causes which directly lead to the effects which are associated with credit defaults. Such a theory is required to provide direct causal connections between macroeconomic causes of changing financial environment and their microeconomic effects on changing personal or corporate financial conditions, leading to possible credit defaults. Most existing theories1of credit default does not meet this causal requirement.
2.2.3 Theory of micro-loan borrowing rates & default

A model of micro loans is used to determine the equilibrium borrowing rates, and default probabilities. Monitoring by lenders is critical for equilibrium to exist in our model if the maturity of the loan is long. With short maturity loans, monitoring is shown to be counterproductive. The manner in which the loan rates depend on the market structure, monitoring costs, joint-liability provisions and punishment technology is characterized when the borrowing group optimally chooses the timing of default. Designing the loan contract so that borrowers make higher payments in good states and lower payments in bad states are shown to be pareto improving, Hoofman (2006).

There are very large groups of society, especially in poor and developing parts of the world who do not have access to rudimentary financial services such as bank savings accounts, credit facilities, or insurances. Households in these sections of the society are typically poor and access credit in informal credit markets. Such informal credit markets include: local money-lenders, cal shop-keepers, who provide trade credit, pawn-brokers, payday lenders, Rotating Savings and Credit Associations (ROSCAS). A number of economists have examined these informal credit markets, and their potential linkages to more formal credit markets. A partial list of such research includes Besley, Coate, and Loury (1993), Braverman and Guasch (1986), Varghese (2000, 2002), and Caskey (2005). It is well understood that the interest rates in such informal markets tend to be much higher than the borrowing rates that prevail in formal credit markets.
2.2.4 Default Risk Models

According to the study of Moody (2003) evidence from many countries in recent years suggests that collateral values and recovery rates on corporate defaults can be volatile and, moreover, that they tend to go down just when the number of defaults goes up in economic downturns. This link between recovery rates and default rates has traditionally been neglected by credit risk models, as most of them focused on default risk and adopted static loss assumptions, treating the recovery rate either as a constant parameter or as a stochastic variable independent from the probability of default. This traditional focus on default analysis has been partly reversed by the recent significant increase in the number of studies dedicated to the subject of recovery rate estimation and the relationship between default and recovery rates. This paper presents a detailed review of the way credit risk models, Developed during the last thirty years, treat the recovery rate and, more specifically, it’s Relationship with the probability of default of an obligor.

Three main variables affect the credit risk of a financial asset:

(i) the probability of default (PD),

(ii) the “loss given default” (LGD), which is equal to one minus the Recovery rate in the event of default (RR), and;

(iii) The exposure at default (EAD).

While significant attention has been devoted by the credit risk literature on the estimation of the first component (PD), much less attention has been dedicated to the estimation of RR and to the relationship between PD and RR.

This is mainly the consequence of two related factors. First, credit pricing models and
risk management applications tend to focus on the systematic risk components of credit risk, as these are the only ones that attract risk-premium. Second, credit risk models traditionally assumed RR to be dependent on individual features (e.g. collateral or security) that do not respond to systematic factors, and to be independent of PD. This traditional focus on default analysis has been partly reversed by the recent increase in the number of studies dedicated to the subject of RR estimation and the relationship between the PD and RR (Fridson,; Garman and Okashima 2000). This is partly the consequence of the parallel increase in default rates and decrease of recovery rates registered during the 1999-2002 period. More generally, evidence from many countries in recent years suggests that collateral values and recovery rates can be volatile and, moreover, they tend to go down just when the number of defaults goes up in economic downturns. Altman (2001), Hamilton; Gupton and Berthault (2001).

2. 2.5 The Merton approach

The first category of credit risk models are the ones based on the original framework developed by Merton (1974) using the principles of option pricing Black and Scholes, (1973). In such a framework, the default process of a company is driven by the value of the company’s assets and the risk of a firm’s default is therefore explicitly linked to the variability of the firm’s asset value. The basic intuition behind the Merton model is relatively simple: default occurs when the value of a firm’s assets (the market value of the firm) is lower than that of its liabilities. The payment to the debt holders at the maturity of the debt is therefore the smaller of two quantities: the face value of the debt or the market value of the firm’s assets. Assuming that the company’s debt is entirely represented by a zero-coupon bond, if the value of the firm at maturity is greater than the
face value of the bond, then the bondholder gets back the face value of the bond. However, if the value of the firm is less than the face value of the bond, the shareholders get nothing and the bondholder gets back the market value of the firm. The payoff at maturity to the bondholder is therefore equivalent to the face value of the bond minus a put option on the value of the firm, with a strike price equal to the face value of the bond and a maturity equal to the maturity of the bond. Following this basic intuition, Merton derived an explicit formula for risky bonds which can be used both to estimate the return of a firm and to estimate the yield differential between a risky bond and a default-free bond.

In addition to Merton (1974), first generation structural-form models include Black and Cox (1976), Geske (1977), and Vasicek (1984). Each of these models tries to refine the original Merton framework by removing one or more of the unrealistic assumptions. Black and Cox (1976) introduce the possibility of more complex capital structures, with subordinated debt; Geske (1977) introduces interest-paying debt; Vasicek (1984) introduces the distinction between short and long term liabilities which now represents a distinctive feature of the KMV model.

In the KMV model, default occurs when the firm’s asset value goes below a threshold represented by the sum of the total amount of short term liabilities and half of the amount of long term liabilities. The standard reference is Jones, Mason and Rosenfeld (1984), who find that, even for firms with very simple capital structures, a Merton-type model is unable to price investment-grade corporate bonds better than a naive model that assumes no risk of default. Embrechts, Frey, McNeil (2003).
2.3 Financial Performance Measures

Financial performance is a management initiative to upgrade the accuracy and timeliness of the financial institution to meet the required standard while supporting day to day operation Bessis (1998). Financial performance key measures are driven by three critical issues as follows profitability, size of the business, and growth of the business overtime. Consequently, financial performance measures that assess profitability, size, and growth rates are essential to monitor overall financial performance and progress, Ronald (2011)

2.3.1 Liquidity

Liquidity is the degree to which debt obligation coming due in the next 12 months can be paid in cash or assets will be turned into cash. Van (1995) the firms credit policies are the chief influence on the level of debtors, measuring the manager’s position to invest optimally in its debtors to be able to trade profitably with increased revenue.

2.3.2. Earning

According to Johnson & schcoles (2007), many managers find a process for developing a useful set of performance indicators for the organization. One reason for this is that many indicators give a useful but only partial view of overall picture also some indicators are qualitative in nature, whilst the hard quantitative end of assessing been dominated by financial analysis. The evaluation of earnings performance depend upon key profitability measures such as (return on equity and return on assets) to industry bench mark and peer group norms (Federal Reserve Bank, 2002). Profitability as a measure of performance is widely accepted by Banks, financial institutions management, company owners and other creditors as they are interested in knowing whether or not the firm earns sustainability more than it pays by way of interest (Sadakkadulla &Subbaiah, 2002).


2.3.3 Turnover

According to study of (BOU, 2002), a financial institution whose borrower defaults on their payment may face cash flow problem, which eventually affects its liquidity. In accounting, it can be defined as the number of times an asset is replaced during an accounting period or the number of shares traded for a period as a percentage of the total share in a portfolio, turnover often refers to inventory or accounts receivable, a quick turnover is desired because it means that inventory is not sitting on the shelves for too long, in a portfolio a small turnover is desired because it means the investor is paying less on commission to the broker.

Analyst use metrics like cash conversion cycle, the return on assets ratio and fixed asset turnover ratio to compare and assess a company annual asset performance, an improvement in asset performance means that accompany can either earn a higher return using the same amount of assets or is efficient enough to create same amount of return using less assets.

2.4 Empirical Studies

Goto (2004) carried out a study to examine the financial management problems it revealed that lack of skilled manpower and staff systems, favourism, corruption and limited review of operating system by the supervisory committee led to financial mismanagement problems at Nyati SACCO. The study also revealed that these problems affect the operation of many SACCO’S in the country. Mwarania (1986) also carried a study on the role of SACCO’S in Kenya economic development. They argued the one per cent interest charged on loans gives misleading signals on the relative scarcity of funds’.
They saw SACCO’S as party of Kenya’s financial attention .capital of the members but also of corporate savings. Hence there is need for dividend and retained earnings policies to be streamlined. Thus they have raised the issue of need to increase corporate savings even though they did not specify how that could be done. Kairu (2009) highlighted political interference as a possible threat to the quality of the loan portfolio pointing out that whereas politicians were very crucial at the mobilization and starting stages of the SACCOs, some were frustrating the program as they take loans from these SACCOs with a feeling that they are not obliged to pay back.

According to the IMF Report (2001) most SACCOs in Uganda had large portfolios in arrears, with overdue loan repayments stretching back into the distant past mainly because lending policies were usually poorly enforced and systems to track and manage arrears hardly existed. Many if not all SACCOs had experienced considerable difficulties realizing collateral. Allen & Makhumbi (2009) maintained that the loan evaluation system and ability of members to repay within a specified timeframe had not always been considered sufficiently in the loan application process and that the cooperative model of finance relied to a certain extent on the common bonds shared by members, which fostered a trust between members.

Allen & Maghimbi (2009) observed that some cooperatives in Uganda were finding it difficult to operate largely because of their poor financial state. This was confirmed by the findings of the African Microfinance Transparency (AMT) report (2008) that discovered that funding structures indicated growth in SACCOs being mostly funded by
access to debt rather than by savings. This was in line with previous studies by AMFIU in 2007 which discovered that over indebtedness had been a problem to most SACCOs.

Chirwa (1997) specified a probity model to assess the determinants of the probability of credit repayment among smallholders in Malawi. The model allows for analysis of borrowers as being defaulters or non-defaulters. Various specifications of the X-vector were explored by step-wise elimination. The explanatory power of the model is plausible with the log likelihood statistically significant at 1-percent. Four independent variables – gender, amount of loan, club experience and household size were not statistically significant in various specifications.

Makanda (1986) also commented on the potential role of cooperative in agro-business of cooperative movement and reasons for poor performance in Kenya. However the above studies have taken key interest on cooperative have focussed mainly on agricultural cooperatives though agricultural cooperative are many ,the role of Sacco in the movement should be recognized especially in the financial sector, this paper focuses hence fills the gap in literature. Uboun (1988) carried a study on the determinants of savings in SACCO’S in Kenya the people concludes that to increase corporate savings the SACCOs could increase corporate savings share capital and attempt to reduce loan outstanding among others in order to expand and diversify assets and portfolio respectively .this study though addressed issues affecting the financial performance of SACCOs.
Jamisen et al (1999) carried out a research on capital formation in farmers co-operative in Kenya. His study was aimed at finding out factors affecting performance of farmers owned cooperatives in Kenya. The conclusion were that cooperative should aim for open committed, competent ,motivated and trustworthy management education to members improved participation of women, health politics strong information flow from cooperatives to members and increased share contribution ,the study was carried out especially on farmers owned cooperatives. Njiru (2006) carried a study on a list of non-performing loans including all relevant details should be assessed on a case by case basis to determine if the situation is reversible. Exactly what can be done to improve repayment capacity and whether or not worked out or collection plans have been used. Provision level should be considered to determine the SACCOs capability to withstand loan defaults.

Njiru (2003) carried out a study to determine how a Coffee Cooperative Societies in Embu district manage their credit risk, this was in respect of the systems procedures and controls which are put in place to ensure the efficient collection of credit so as to minimise the risk of non payment. The study found out the coffee societies in Embu district use quantitative method to evaluate the creditworthiness of their members and that all the coffee societies use qualitative method only the borrower and the amount of credit due .there is a common feeling that shared information between cooperative societies in Embu district will assist to a large extent in filtering out un-credit worthy members. This is so because most members were found to be becoming to more than one society within the same locality. The credit assessment method applied could influence the level of credit default and that education to members about the dangers of not paying
in time could lead to lower level of default.

Uboun (1988) default in loan repayment by SACCO members is brought about by commitments to other loans, diversion of salary, withholding of salary by employer due to cash flow problems or employees having discipline issue, unwillingness to pay and unprofitability of the financed units. The image that the lender must receive loan repayments promptly and philosophy of non-tolerance of late loan repayments default implies that borrowers will be committed to loan repayment. Potential borrowers are screened and only those who are committed to loan repayment end up applying. Steams (1991) the manner in which borrowers are selected and the amount of loan given to each successful borrower determines the magnitude of loan delinquency. Borrowers who are given loans they can repay without hardships hardly default in repayment. In any case default in loan repayment is as a result of bad loans and not bad borrowers. A bad loan is one that the borrower repays with a lot of hardships.

According to Johnson & Scholes (2007), many managers find a process for developing a useful set of performance indicators for their organizations difficult. One reason for this is that many indicators give a useful but only partial view of the overall picture. Also some indicators are qualitative in nature, whilst the hard quantitative end of assessing performance has been dominated by financial analysis. In an attempt to cope with this very heterogeneous situation, balanced score cards have been used as a way of identifying a useful, but varied set of key measures. Balanced score cards combine both qualitative and quantitative measures, acknowledge expectations of different stakeholders
and relate an assessment of performance to choice of strategy.

According to Pearce and Robinson (2007), operational controls provide post action evaluation and controls over short periods from one month to one year. To be effective, operational controls must take four steps common to all post action controls; set standards of performance, measure actual performance, identify deviations from standards and initiate corrective actions. It is not a question of simply considering the achievements of targets as used to happen in "management by objectives" schemes. Competency factors need to be included in the process. This is the so called "mixed model" of performance management, which covers the achievements of expected levels of competence as well as objective setting and review.

Mwaura, (2005) lack of credit analysis, credit follow-ups as well as hostile lending are the key factors that contribute to poor performance in loan lending by SACCO societies in Kenya. Mwangi (2010) study found out that there exist a relationship between finance performance (in terms of profitability) and credit risk management in terms of (non-performing loans and Capital adequacy ratio). Financial performance measures are driven by three critical issues profitability, size of the business, and the growth of business overtime, Ronald (2011).

2.5 Conclusion from Literature Review

Studies have shown that lack of sufficient growth of SACCOs wealth has made it difficult for them to absorb their operational losses, which may have threatened their sustainability, this has led to the losses being absorbed by members savings and share
capital, hence loss of members savings. While the purpose of SACCOs is to mobilize members' funds and grant credit for the members' development, this has made difficult for the SACCOs to grow their wealth, achieve their objective of maximizing their wealth and contribute favourably to National Domestic Savings. This failure to achieve enough SACCO wealth, through the accumulation of enough institutional capital, is attributable to weak financial stewardship, inappropriate capital structure, and imprudent funds allocation strategy.
CHAPTER THREE
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

Research methodology tells the researcher how to attain accuracy in the description, explanation, and prediction. It comprises of research design, target population, sampling procedure, data collection methods, data collection instruments, and data analysis.

3.2 Research design

According to Mugenda and Mugenda (1999), research design is the outline plan or scheme that is used to generate answers to Research problem. It’s basically the structure and plan of investigation. A descriptive approach was adopted in this study. A explanatory research design survey is the process of collecting data from the members of a population in order to determine the relationship between variables study, this is because the researcher wanted to establish the relationship between two variables. The survey study aimed at investigating the relationship between loan default and the financial performance of SASRA regulated SACCOs in Nairobi. Explanatory survey was used because it enables the researcher generalize the findings to a larger population.

3.3 Target Population

Target population can be defined as a compute set of individuals, cases /objects with some common observable characteristics of a particular nature distinct from other population.

According to Mugenda and Mugenda (1999), a population is a well defined as a set of people, services, elements and events, a group of things household that are being investigated. The population of study will be 45 SASRA regulated SACCOs in Nairobi.
This group was sampled from SASRA regulated SACCO’s for the past three years.

3.4 Sampling design

Simple random sampling was used to select the sample size of 20 Sacco’s from the population. The 20 SASRA regulated Sacco’s in Nairobi was appropriate because most Sacco’s have their headquarters in Nairobi. The sample size was chosen from the population at random. The method spreads the sample more evenly over the population and is easier to conduct Mugenda and Mugenda (1999).

3.5 Data collection procedures

Data was collected using data collection sheet. The data was collected from statement of comprehensive income and statement of financial position of Sacco’s. The variables used was Loan Default, Equity(calculated) as annual net income after tax dividend divided by shareholders equity and Return On Assets calculated as annual net income after tax divided by total assets as a measure of performance, Membership and turnover.

3.6 Data analysis and Presentation.

Data was analyzed using regression analysis. A presentation for the findings was done through the use of tables and graphs. The regression output was obtained using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 19). Similar model was used by Mwangi (2010)

The regression model for the data is as follows:

\[ TO = \beta_0 + \beta_1 LD + \beta_2 AT + \beta_3 MP + \beta_4 E \]

\[ \beta_0 = \text{Constant} \]

Where TO=Turnover; measured by the income the Sacco has made before expenses.
LD= Loan default-amount of loan that Sacco’s terms as defaulted.

AT= Asset-Sacco’s asset as at balance sheet date.

MP=membership, active members of Sacco as at balance sheet date.

E=Equity, Sacco’s reserve at end of the year.

$\beta_1 - \beta_4$ = Regression coefficients – define the amount by which TO (response variable) is changed for every unit change in the predictor variable.

Correlation Coefficient ($r$) will be determined and used to measure the strength and direction of the relationship between the dependent variable (Leverage) and each of the independent variables.
CHAPTER FOUR
DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Introduction

This chapter presents the research findings of a study on the establishing the relationship between loan default and the performance of SACCOs in Kenya.

Whereas the study had targeted a total of 20 SACCO’S, were considered valid and adequate for analysis stage since a period of three years was in row was done. This was a sample size of sixty. This represents secondary data which formed the basis for the analysis presented in this chapter. The analysis of the data was done using SPPS, and the findings were presented using graphs, and tables.

4.2 The relationship between loan default and turnover.

Table.1 correlations between loan default and turnover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>loan default</th>
<th>Turnover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>loan default</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.517**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.517**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: Research Data
From the table above, there exists a moderate correlation between the loan default and turnover from the sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future borrowers. Since majority of contributions and repayments are used to advance loans, increase in loan default will hamper the turn over of this SACCO’S.

**Fig.1: scatter diagram loan default versus turnover.**

![Scatter Diagram](image)

**Source: Research Data**

From the scatter diagram above, majority of points can be observed to lie near the line of best fit and hence indicating the level at which the loan default affects or have relationship with turnover. The starting point or the point at which the line of best fit cuts
the y-axis shows the lowest point of intersection and the point they share.

**Table 2: model summary of the correlations between loan default and the turnover.**

**Model Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Dimension</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.517a</td>
<td>.267</td>
<td>.254</td>
<td>5.29858E8</td>
<td>1.334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), loan default

b. Dependent Variable: turnover

**Source: Research Data**

From the table above, the correlation coefficient (R) of 0.517 indicates there exists a strong correlation between the two variables and a further correlation of determination. 51.7% of variation in turnover can be explained by loan default and vice versa. As R-squared increases the standard error of estimate will decrease, and better line of best fit hence less estimation error.
4.3: Relationship between loan default and Assets

Table 3: Correlations between loan default and Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>loan default</th>
<th>Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pearson Correlation</strong></td>
<td>1.607**</td>
<td>0.607**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sig. (2-tailed)</strong></td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

**Source: Research Data**

From the table above, there exists a strong correlation of 0.607 between the loan default and assets from the sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future borrowing of the company from the Bankers. Increase in assets will be used as source of collateral by the SACCO’S to source for more finance and hence advance to its members.
Fig. 2: scatter diagram loan default versus assets

Source: Research Data

From the scatter diagram above, majority of points can be observed to lie near the line of best fit and hence indicating the level at which the loan default affects or have relationship with assets. The starting point or the point at which the line of best fit cuts the y-axis shows the lowest point of intersection and the point they share.
4.4 Relationship between loan default and equity

Table 4: correlations between loan default and equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>loan default</th>
<th>Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>loan default</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.443**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>.443**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: Research Data

From the table above, the correlation coefficient (R) of 0.443 indicates there exists a strong correlation between the two variables and a further correlation of determination. 51.7% of variation in turnover can be explained by loan default and vice versa. As R-squared increases the standard error of estimate will decrease, and better line of best fit hence less estimation error.
Source: Research Data

From the scatter diagram above, majority of points can be observed to close the line of best fit and hence indicating the level at which the loan default affects or have relationship with Equity. The starting point or the point at which the line of best fit cuts the y-axis shows the lowest point of intersection and the point they share.
4.5 Summary of variables

Table 5: Summary of correlations of variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>turnover</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>loan default</th>
<th>Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.966</td>
<td>.309</td>
<td>.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>.966</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.436</td>
<td>.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>.309</td>
<td>.436</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>loan default</td>
<td>.517</td>
<td>.607</td>
<td>.443</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>.706</td>
<td>.834</td>
<td>.646</td>
<td>.714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sig. (1-tailed)</th>
<th>Turnover</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>loan default</th>
<th>Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loan default</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research Data.

From the table above, we can see all five variables are correlated with criterion—and all the correlation is positive. There exist a very strong correlation between turnover and assets with 0.966, meaning that 96% of assets can be explained by variation in turnover. Correlation between loan default and other variables are .517 between turnover, .607 with assets, .443 between equity and .714 with membership. From the above table, we can also deduce more. There exists a strong relationship between assets and members while also a strong correlation exists between membership and loan default.
4.6 Analysis of no variance

**Table 6: Analysis of no variance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>2.148E19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.370E18</td>
<td>401.288</td>
<td>.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>7.361E17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.338E16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.222E19</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Equity, Assets, loan defaul t, Membership

b. Dependent Variable: turnover

**Source: Research Data**

From the table above, “sig” which checks the goodness of fit of the model, shows model fits the data. Since the significance is less than the 0.05, the goodness of the model is fit. The model explains the deviations in the dependent variable at 95% confidence interval and hence we can accept the model and the lower the number the better the fit. Typically, if Significance could have been greater than 0.05, could have concluded that our model could not fit the data. The $F$-ratio in the ANOVA table above tests whether the overall regression model is a good fit for the data. The table shows that the independent variables statistically significantly predict the dependent variable, $F(4, 95) = 401.288, p < .0005$ (i.e., the regression model is a good fit of the data).
Table 7: Model summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Change Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
<td>R Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimension0</td>
<td>.983&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.967</td>
<td>.964</td>
<td>.967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research Data

From the table above, it provides the $R$, $R^2$, adjusted $R^2$, and the standard error of the estimate, which can be used to determine how well a regression model fits the data. From the table above, adjusted $R$ squared is the fraction of the variation in dependent variable (Loan default) that can be accounted for (or predicted) by independent variables i.e. assets, equity and the turnover. In this case 98.3% of variations in loan default can be explained by assets, equity and turnover-square the proportion of the variation in the dependent variable (loan default) that was explained by variations in independent variables. In this case R-Square shows that 96.4% of variation (and not the variance) was explained. Standard error of estimate measures the dispersion of the dependent variable estimate around the mean.
### Table 8: Model coefficients

**Coefficients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>95.0% Confidence Interval for B</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>1.605E7</td>
<td>2.136E7</td>
<td>.752</td>
<td>.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>loan default</td>
<td>-.013</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>-.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>-8009.862</td>
<td>1644.552</td>
<td>-.290</td>
<td>-4.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>.145</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>26.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>-.224</td>
<td>.198</td>
<td>-.038</td>
<td>-1.132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: turnover

**Source: Research Data.**

Unstandardized coefficients indicate how much the dependent variable varies with an independent variable, when all other independent variables are held constant. Consider the effect of membership in this example. The unstandardized coefficient for assets is 0.145. This means that for each 1 shilling increase in assets, there is a increase in turnover of 0.145. The general form of the equation to predict turnover from assets, equity, loan default and membership is:

**Predicted Dependant = 16,050,000+ (0.145 x Assets) - (0.224 x Equity) - (0.8009.8 x membership) - (0.130xloan default).**

This is obtained from the **Coefficients** table, as shown above. In multiple linear
regression, the size of the coefficient for each independent variable gives you the size of
the effect that variable is having on your dependent variable, and the sign on the
coefficient (positive or negative) gives you the direction of the effect. In regression with
multiple independent variables, the coefficient tells you how much the dependent variable
is expected to increase when that independent variable increases by one, holding all the
other independent variables constant. From the analysis above and the model, an increase
in loan default cause a decrease in turnover as indicated by the negative sign before the
loan default in the regression model.

The $F$-ratio in the table 6, tests whether the overall regression model is a good fit for the
data. The table shows that the independent variables statistically significantly predict the
dependent variable, $F(4, 95) = 401.288, p < .0005$ (i.e., the regression model is a good fit
of the data).

4.7 Summary and Interpretation of the Findings

There exists a moderate correlation between the loan default and turnover from the
sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future
borrowers. Since majority of contributions and repayments are used to advance loans,
increase in loan default will hamper the turnover of this SACCO’S.

The model is good as it fits the data and can used to explain the dependent variable as
seen in the ANOVA table. There exists a strong correlation between the loan default and
assets from the sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the
liquidity and future borrowing of the company from the Bankers. Increase in assets will
be used as source of collateral by the SACCO’S to source for more finance and hence
advance to its members. the correlation coefficient indicates that there exists a strong correlation between the two variables and a further correlation of determination of variation in turnover can be explained by loan default and vice versa. As R-squared increases the standard error of estimate will decrease, and better line of best fit hence less estimation error. All five variables are correlated with criterion and all the correlation is positive. There exist a very strong correlation between turnover and assets meaning that a high percentage of assets can be explained by variation in turnover. Correlation between loan default and other variable are between turnover, assets, between equity and membership. From the above table, we can also deduce more. There exists a strong relationship between assets and members while also a strong correlation exists between membership and loan default.

From the overall regression model, the correlations coefficient and coefficient of determination o shows the model as fit for the data. The model is good as it fits the data and can used to explain the dependent variable as seen in the ANOVA table. Multiple regressions also allows you to determine the overall fit (variance explained) of the model and the relative contribution of each of the predictors to the total variance explained. **Model Summary** table provided the $R$, $R^2$, adjusted $R^2$, and the standard error of the estimate, which can be used to determine how well a regression model fits the data:

**Logistic Regression**

This type of regression is used when the dependent variable is variable dichotomous or binary i.e. takes only two values. Such data is generated by yes and no responses. It’s flexible and easy to use. The odds generated permit direct observation of relative
importance of each independent variable in predicting the dependent variable. Odds ratios are used to make statistical inferences from the population from the sample.

The effects of independent variables differ due to the level of significance “$\text{sig}$” indicated by coefficient table. A p-value of for membership indicates a good predictor, while the asset also shows the same p-value. Assets can be associated with the level of Sacco to borrow more and hence have higher liquidity to advance to its members and therefore increase the turnover.

Increase in membership would also imply that the increase in the deposits by the members would also cause the increase the availability of borrowing and capital in the society. For deposit taking institution, sufficient liquidity, to meet the demands of saving withdrawals, loan disbursement and operational expenses must be maintained.

Loans are granted from member’s saving and so if they are not paid as per the loan agreement, then members’ savings are at risk. Best practises require that loans that are not paid as agreed are considered delinquent the day after the first missed payment. The entire outstanding loan balance is considered past due. Immediate action should be taken to control delinquency and collect the loan that is reported past due.

Provisions for loan losses are the fist line of defence to protect members’ savings against identified risks of losses to the SACCO. Provisioning does not imply that the borrower has been forgiven. Its prudent SACCO’s recognize probable loss from bad loans. Although loan may be written off in books, the SACCO must do everything possible to enforce repayment of the outstanding loan. It’s inappropriate to carry non-performing
loans in A Sacco loan book knowing very well that loan is not being repaid as per loan agreement.

In this study loan default was used as an independent variable in comparison to the turnover as an indicator of financial performance of SACCOs because factors that lead to loan default in SACCOs was widely researched (eg Kairu 2009; Uboun 988)
CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

5.1 Summary

The study intended to find the relationship between loan default and the performance of SACCO’s in Kenya. The information used was collected from the regulatory body SASRA. From the analysis and data collected the following discussions and recommendations are made. The analysis was based on the objectives of the study. From the data there exists a moderate correlation between the loan default and assets from the sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future borrowing of the company from the Bankers. Increase in assets will be used as source of collateral by the SACCO’S to source for more finance and hence advance to its members.

Unstandardized coefficients indicate how much the dependent variable varies with an independent variable, when all other independent variables are held constant. Consider the effect of membership in this example, the unstandardized coefficient for assets. This means that for each shilling increase in assets, there is a increase in turnover. The general form of the equation is to predict turnover from assets, equity, loan default and membership and there is strong correlation between the loan default and turnover from the sample size of 60 used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future borrowers. Since majority of contributions and repayments are used to advance loans, increase in loan default will hamper the turnover of this SACCO’S.

There exist a very strong correlation between turnover and assets meaning that assets can
be explained by variation in turnover. There exists Correlation between loan default and other variables including turnover, assets, equity and membership. From the above table, we can also deduce more. There exists a strong relationship between assets and members while also a strong correlation exists between membership and loan default.

There exists a strong correlation between the loan default and assets from the sample size of used. This has the potential of interfering with the liquidity and future borrowing of the company from the Bankers. Increase in assets will be used as source of collateral by the SACCO’S to source for more finance and hence advance to its members.

5.2.Conclusions

Based on the results from data analysis and findings the study came up with the following conclusions. The F-ratio in the table 6, tests whether the overall regression model is a good fit for the data. The table shows that the independent variables statistically significantly predict the dependent variable, (i.e., the regression model is a good fit of the data).

$R$, $R^2$, and adjusted $R^2$, was used to determine the strength and direction of relation between these variables. From the table above, Table.7: Model summary. Adjusted R squared is the fraction of the variation in dependent variable (Loan default) that can be accounted for (or predicted) by independent variables i.e. assets, equity and the turnover. In this case variations in loan default can be explained by assets, equity and turnover-square the proportion of the variation in the dependent variable (loan default) that was explained by variations in independent variables. In this case R-Square shows variation (and not the variance) was explained. Standard error of estimate measures the dispersion
of the dependent variable estimate around the mean.

The study was to establish if there was relationship between loan default and the performance of SACCOs in Kenya. From the analysis above and the model, an increase in loan default cause a decrease in turnover as indicated by the negative sign before the loan default in the regression model. From the findings it was deduced that in correlation matrix table there is moderate correlation between loan default and the turnover which explains the profitability in Sacco’s. From the table of coefficients, loan default shows a negative effect. This confirms the relationship loan default has on the turnover and the overall profitability of these Sacco’s.

5.3 Policy Recommendations

In regression with multiple independent variables, the coefficient explains how much the dependent variable is expected to increase when that independent variable increases by one, holding all the other independent variables constant. From the analysis above and the model, an increase in loan default cause a decrease in turnover as indicated by the negative sign before the loan default in the regression model. SACCO’s should put stringent measures such as increasing the number of guarantors, reducing the borrowing factor as well as ensuring insurance covers for large loans. This will go hand in hand in increasing profitability and with good management it will facilitate increase in return on assets and equity.

The loans policy should be intended to provide direction, guidelines and make provisions for proper and efficient utilization and administration of the society’s loan portfolio in
order to ensure that the society’s interests are adequately protected to ensure equitable
distribution of funds, encourage liquidity planning and reduce loan default.

Members should not be allowed to withdraw part of his/her deposits or offset part of the
deposits against an outstanding loan unless he/she ceases to be a member. These enhance
loan repayment and reduce loan default. If loan repayment is delayed, the guarantors
should be informed of this fact and be notified that they will be called upon to honor
their obligations if no repayments are effected at the end of a given period. The General
Manager as the CEO of Sacco’s should maintain an up-to-date documentation of loan
files and ensure that loan application form and security are in place in case of arbitration
and suit.

SACCOS should also join the credit reference bureau and educate their members the
need of prompt payment. CRB allows for credit information sharing among the financial
institutions. Credit information sharing undoubtedly plays a pivotal role in reducing the
information asymmetry that exists between banks and borrowers. The major benefit that
the Sacco would receive from CRB is that they would be able to get credit information
on prospective borrowers that will facilitate assessment of credit requests to mitigate
risks of bad debts. On the side of the borrower, a good credit record acts as an incentive
for competitive pricing of loan facilities.

5.4. Limitations of the study

Owing to time and resource limits the study drew its sample only from only 20 SACCOS
in Kenya in spite of thousands. Currently the number of Sacco’s in Kenya has increased
drastically and more so after the introduction of transport Sacco’s to help and manage the transport sector. Majority of these Sacco’s have no up to date information in the SASRA offices. The study didn’t include many other parameters that affect the performance of SACCO societies in Kenya due to the nature of information available from the Sacco’s and the financial constraints narrowing the research to the chosen Sacco’s.

Due to unavailability of information the study didn’t include all the SASRA regulate SACCOs but only 20 was chosen to be representative. The data used was only from those Sacco’s that was readily available from the SASRA and for period of three years continues. Majority of Sacco’s in Kenya have very scanty information in the office of regulator. This hindered the extent of study. Quality of data provided regarding membership creates a sense as to the source of this data. For instance, Harambee Sacco provided same membership number in year 2010 and 2011 which makes one doubt this data.

5.5. Recommendations for further research

This study was to establish if there was relationship between loan default and the performance of SACCOs in Kenya. Personality types are predisposed to loan default. To this end therefore accurately identifying these personality types could have profound implications for consumer policy, and also important lessons for our understanding of why credit markets may fail. Reason behind non-repayment of loan, misuse or the past trends of these loan defaults can be analyzed.

Further research can be done to confirm the relationship between the impact of growth in assets and how its related to the return in assets, and the impact of government
regulations on the performance and management of SACCO’s as more and more SACCO’S continue to be formed in different sectors.

The study didn’t include many of other parameters that affect the performance of SACCO societies in Kenya. Such other parameters include the economic environment, literacy levels of the members, the sector under which the members are derived from. This would check the nature and culture of saving in these Sacco’s.

It is recommended that further research should be done on need for provision of training to the members since investment knowledge helps one to make better decisions on how to invest loans. This might help SACCO’s ensure that business education programmers’ focus more on entrepreneurship training to prepare members for investment and to increase their capacity for future learning. It would help recognize the competences required for members to seek or gain advantage in funds allocated. There is a need for the SACCO members to take proper business analysis before investment in order to reduce the effects of policies, poverty levels, culture/ beliefs the nature of investment system and inflation rate as factors which greatly affects the rate of return on investment of loans.

Further, the SACCO management should provide additional training on employee relations since employee participation, quality system, employee training continuous support, information and analysis, top management commitment and support and customer focused reduce the level of risk of loans in SACCO’s. The SACCO should also revise its lending policies to ensure that more members no idle funds and limiting members with huge contributions.
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: List of Licenced SACCOs by Sacco Society Regulatory Authority as at 31st December 2012

1. Ardhi
2. Elimu
3. Lenga
4. Tumaini
5. Nest
6. Reli
7. Teleposta
8. Transcom
9. Ufanisi
10. Ufundi
11. Ufando na Ufanisi
12. Afya
13. Airports
14. Asili Cooperative
15. Chai
16. Chuna
17. Comoco
18. Fundlima
19. Harambee
20. Hazina
21. Jamii
22. Kenpipe
23. Kenversity
24. Kenya Bankers
25. Kenya Police
26. Kingdom
27. Magereza
28. Maisha Bora
29. Mwalimu National
30. Mwito
31. Nacico
32. Nafaka
33. Naku
34. Nassefu
35. Nation Staff
36. Orthodox
37. Safaricom
38. Sheria
39. Stima
40. Tembo
41. Ukulima
42. United nations
43. Wanaanga
44. Wanandege
45. Waumini

Source: SASRA Records
## APPENDIX II: DATA COLLECTION FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SACCO</th>
<th>YEARS</th>
<th>LOAN DEFAULT</th>
<th>MEMBERHIP</th>
<th>TURNOVER</th>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>EQUITY</th>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>EQUITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NACICO</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5,287,560.00</td>
<td><strong>10,046</strong></td>
<td>181,906,041.00</td>
<td>1,698,457,876.00</td>
<td>12,831,604.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6,152,720.00</td>
<td><strong>10,046</strong></td>
<td>77,481,680.00</td>
<td>2,343,434,526.00</td>
<td>87,323,586.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7,324,666.00</td>
<td>13,144</td>
<td>217,364,791.00</td>
<td>2,364,652,351.00</td>
<td>90,420,516.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFYA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>28,023,004.00</td>
<td>36,955</td>
<td>855,131,033.00</td>
<td>7,523,234,527.00</td>
<td>36,433,907.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31,077,946.00</td>
<td>39,016</td>
<td>726,906,213.00</td>
<td>10,248,782,459.00</td>
<td>48,073,064.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>27,400,075.60</td>
<td>39,398</td>
<td>1,384,782,110.00</td>
<td>10,848,416,703.00</td>
<td>258,775,069.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAI</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,948,198.00</td>
<td>7,931</td>
<td>130,564,918.00</td>
<td>1,110,090,103.00</td>
<td>29,948,078.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,081,215.00</td>
<td>8,168</td>
<td>151,403,316.00</td>
<td>1,267,422,971.00</td>
<td>34,029,758.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,624,985.00</td>
<td>8,968</td>
<td>170,802,614.00</td>
<td>1,308,007,009.00</td>
<td>36,098,562.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUNA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,515,108.00</td>
<td><strong>3,834</strong></td>
<td>114,541,011.00</td>
<td>1,378,438,636.00</td>
<td>7,701,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4,314,125.00</td>
<td><strong>3,834</strong></td>
<td>107,780,348.00</td>
<td>1,536,791,659.00</td>
<td>9,184,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,701,910.00</td>
<td>4,605</td>
<td>195,204,978.00</td>
<td>1,414,235,675.00</td>
<td>10,372,094.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.A.N</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,642,222.00</td>
<td>3,692</td>
<td>613,597,200.00</td>
<td>4,656,875,859.00</td>
<td>120,864,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3,847,806.00</td>
<td>3,969</td>
<td>775,932,543.00</td>
<td>5,610,570,727.00</td>
<td>139,773,843.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4,580,721.00</td>
<td>4,105</td>
<td>960,941,197.00</td>
<td>6,547,006,193.00</td>
<td>216,082,605.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARAMBEE</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td><strong>688,262,102.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>92,842</strong></td>
<td>1,278,539,910.00</td>
<td>14,655,159,915.00</td>
<td>487,909,070.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td><strong>845,450,070.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>92,842</strong></td>
<td>1,431,840,622.00</td>
<td>15,909,438,522.00</td>
<td>325,041,080.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td><strong>115,945,155.74</strong></td>
<td>89,347</td>
<td>1,422,692,762.00</td>
<td>16,911,028,098.00</td>
<td>325,578,876.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENPOLICE</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>98,164,102.00</td>
<td><strong>55,835</strong></td>
<td>728,761,890.00</td>
<td>6,427,563,414.00</td>
<td>79,622,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>94,669,169.00</td>
<td><strong>34,330</strong></td>
<td>827,936,515.00</td>
<td>7,862,320,203.00</td>
<td>109,030,080.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>52,980,689.00</td>
<td>35,407</td>
<td>1,179,328,627.00</td>
<td>9,053,850,314.00</td>
<td>111,883,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGEREZA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>26,962,644.00</td>
<td>16,377</td>
<td>268,415,930.00</td>
<td>2,940,578,551.00</td>
<td>264,369,211.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>28,463,748.00</td>
<td>19,489</td>
<td>258,671,751.00</td>
<td>3,350,874,488.00</td>
<td>308,422,656.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>33,885,414.00</td>
<td>19,430</td>
<td>340,515,452.00</td>
<td>3,707,062,247.00</td>
<td>326,270,712.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWALIMU</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>522,272,462.00</td>
<td>47,916</td>
<td>2,290,717,472.00</td>
<td>17,029,390,479.00</td>
<td>29,593,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>486,272,592.00</td>
<td>49,040</td>
<td>2,165,788,000.00</td>
<td>19,305,419,928.00</td>
<td>37,317,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>142,438,506.00</td>
<td>50,664</td>
<td>3,027,695,145.00</td>
<td>22,008,054,783.00</td>
<td>39,095,186.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION STAFF</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3,469,283.00</td>
<td>1,261</td>
<td>65,616,727.00</td>
<td>565,531,361.00</td>
<td>6,430,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5,969,829.00</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td>77,481,680.00</td>
<td>677,144,172.00</td>
<td>13,053,400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7,106,939.43</td>
<td>1,853</td>
<td>84,614,930.00</td>
<td>739,255,892.00</td>
<td>16,200,113.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIMA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4,260,125.00</td>
<td>9,737</td>
<td>752,830,463.00</td>
<td>6,283,238,958.00</td>
<td>9,461,456.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5,333,435.00</td>
<td>12,831</td>
<td>1,017,660,860.00</td>
<td>7,703,900,792.00</td>
<td>11,575,176.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,349,328.00</td>
<td>14,977</td>
<td>1,321,818,000.00</td>
<td>9,402,400,000.00</td>
<td>14,329,505.00</td>
<td>6,219,900.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHERIA</td>
<td>37,298,140.00</td>
<td>6,255</td>
<td>129,274,580.00</td>
<td>1,248,585,274.00</td>
<td>6,219,900.00</td>
<td>14,329,505.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>44,944,794.00</td>
<td>6,255</td>
<td>147,052,475.00</td>
<td>1,634,614,030.00</td>
<td>35,073,724.00</td>
<td>5,753,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>53,505,707.00</td>
<td>8,146</td>
<td>180,314,622.00</td>
<td>2,324,091,802.00</td>
<td>35,073,724.00</td>
<td>5,753,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKILIMA</td>
<td>8,511,244.00</td>
<td>27,749</td>
<td>555,382,388.00</td>
<td>4,606,350,618.00</td>
<td>137,309,110.00</td>
<td>3,880,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12,022,538.00</td>
<td>33,847</td>
<td>589,815,479.00</td>
<td>5,080,073,524.00</td>
<td>56,785,400.00</td>
<td>4,318,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>14,312,545.00</td>
<td>34,296</td>
<td>721,297,435.00</td>
<td>6,547,006,193.00</td>
<td>141,963,500.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WANA-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDEGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12,222,412.00</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>129,544,016.00</td>
<td>1,044,871,557.00</td>
<td>3,880,000.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10,355,619.00</td>
<td>4,194</td>
<td>141,028,594.00</td>
<td>1,206,286,516.00</td>
<td>4,318,000.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1,072,931.00</td>
<td>5,014</td>
<td>168,803,359.00</td>
<td>1,204,999,335.00</td>
<td>13,192,776.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENYA</td>
<td>71,252,106.00</td>
<td>16,565</td>
<td>369,555,535.00</td>
<td>4,109,947,723.00</td>
<td>82,825,000.00</td>
<td>10,741,422.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANKERS</td>
<td>87,565,978.00</td>
<td>16,565</td>
<td>370,224,003.00</td>
<td>4,287,259,898.00</td>
<td>87,380,000.00</td>
<td>10,741,422.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFARIC</td>
<td>104,245,212.00</td>
<td>27,847</td>
<td>408,560,155.00</td>
<td>4,849,098,277.00</td>
<td>92,195,000.00</td>
<td>10,741,422.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16,118,250.00</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>97,487,488.00</td>
<td>958,130,542.00</td>
<td>13,192,776.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18,242,207.00</td>
<td>2,430</td>
<td>121,804,527.00</td>
<td>1,064,033,767.00</td>
<td>13,192,776.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>18,387,666.00</td>
<td>3,108</td>
<td>168,803,359.00</td>
<td>1,204,999,335.00</td>
<td>13,192,776.00</td>
<td>62,858,451.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMII</td>
<td>51,248,110.00</td>
<td>10,493</td>
<td>117,579,174.00</td>
<td>1,079,349,412.00</td>
<td>25,769,090.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>69,879,245.00</td>
<td>11,954</td>
<td>161,587,784.00</td>
<td>1,271,286,978.00</td>
<td>42,586,113.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>9,181,037.00</td>
<td>14,180</td>
<td>236,155,902.00</td>
<td>1,521,935,447.00</td>
<td>56,785,581.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAUMINI</td>
<td>224,522,110.00</td>
<td>12,277</td>
<td>110,925,876.00</td>
<td>1,149,361,057.00</td>
<td>51,114,472.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>255,810,304.00</td>
<td>13,407</td>
<td>132,365,464.00</td>
<td>1,386,326,947.00</td>
<td>55,232,214.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>304,536,076.00</td>
<td>14,691</td>
<td>167,944,123.00</td>
<td>1,204,999,335.00</td>
<td>60,803,641.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WANANGA</td>
<td>4,250,114.00</td>
<td>1,990</td>
<td>99,354,713.00</td>
<td>603,811,524.00</td>
<td>5,970,000.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6,880,319.00</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>127,980,763.00</td>
<td>812,605,516.00</td>
<td>20,634,800.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8,190,856.00</td>
<td>2,477</td>
<td>138,138,899.00</td>
<td>911,362,906.00</td>
<td>27,486,350.00</td>
<td>5,914,695.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** SASRA 2012